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Recently we have shown that adult rats exposed to chronic stress during adolescence increase foraging performance in high-threat conditions by 43% compared to rats reared without stress. Our findings suggest that stress during adolescence can prepare rats to better function under future threat, which supports hypotheses describing an adaptive role for the long-term consequences of early stress (e.g. the thrifty phenotype and maternal mismatch hypotheses). These hypotheses often predict that early stress will impair performance in low-threat conditions later in life. However, we did not find any difference in performance under low-threat conditions between adolescent-stressed and unstressed adult animals. To understand why stress during adolescence may affect performance in high-threat but not in low-threat conditions, we discuss our findings in the framework of the Yerkes-Dodson law, a key precept of psychology that has been used for over a century to describe how stress affects performance.

In Chaby et al., we investigated whether stress during adolescence could prepare an organism to better function under threat in the future, an idea predicted by extensions of a wealth of theory related to prenatal stress. We showed that under high-threat conditions adult rats exposed to stress during adolescence began foraging sooner, made more transitions between foraging patches, and consumed more food compared with unstressed rats, which supports the application of early hypotheses addressing prenatal stress. However, in low-threat conditions, adolescent-stressed rats took 106% longer to initiate foraging, but consumed the same amount of food as unstressed rats, which contrasts with prior hypotheses predicting decreased functionality in low-threat conditions.

Here we discuss these results in the framework of the Yerkes-Dodson law, which describes a context-specific relationship between performance and arousal that we have modeled using high and low-threat conditions. The Yerkes-Dodson law is based on a series of experiments in which mice perform visual discrimination tasks under weak, moderate, and strong electrical stimulation. For a review see refs. 7-8. Yerkes and Dodson found a linear relationship between the acquisition of a simple discrimination task and the strength of electrical stimulation, but a curvilinear relationship between learning a task of moderate difficulty and stimulation strength. Since their seminal work over a century ago, Yerkes and Dodson’s findings have been replicated in numerous taxa with modern techniques and statistical analyses and widely applied to performance in many contexts including athletic training, workplace conditions, and video games. The Yerkes-Dodson law states that for more challenging tasks, i) moderate arousal can enhance performance in part by modulating motivation, but ii) high levels of arousal can decrease performance through processes such as a reduction in the amount of information that can be processed, as described in the Easterbrook hypothesis.

In Chaby et al., we tested rats in a moderately challenging, problem-solving foraging task that required varying motor actions and object manipulations under...
both high-threat conditions (auditory and visual predator cues, bright light) and low-threat conditions (standard laboratory conditions, dim red light). Under high-threat conditions the control, unstressed animals decreased their performance by an average of 28% ± SE 9% (number of rewards obtained) compared to their performance in a prior low-threat test ([(final-initial)/initial] × 100). Remarkably, high-threat conditions did not detract from the performance of animals that had experienced adolescent-stress, on average adolescent-stressed rats showed a small increase in performance (2% ± SE 16%) compared to their performance in the prior low-threat test. The effect of adolescent-stress on the relationship between performance and threat condition could be underpinned by a shift in the curvilinear relationship between performance and arousal as described by the Yerkes-Dodson law (Fig. 1). Such a shift would allow adolescent-stressed animals to perform better at higher levels of arousal than those that did not experience adolescent-stress (the peak of the Gaussian curve). Under this framework, exposure to adolescent-stress would cause an increase in optimal arousal range for unstressed animals. Our results emphasize the importance of context on behavior and performance, and the importance of understanding the relationship between testing environment and rearing environment. The proposed model of the capacity for stress during adolescence to cause a shift in the relationship between arousal and performance, the “arousal-shift hypothesis”, could guide future investigations of the lasting effects of early stress as animals age and threats in the environment change. In addition to understanding how performance is dependent on environmental context (threat vs. safe), we must also investigate performance in a task-dependent manner (simple vs. complex). In Chaby et al.,1 we investigated whether the lasting effects of stress during adolescence could be explained by expanding well supported hypotheses predicting the effects of prenatal stress (e.g., thrifty phenotype, maternal mismatch). The arousal-shift hypothesis presented here does not contradict crucial early hypotheses that predict that prenatal stress can prepare an individual for an adverse environment, but suggests that developmental stress may manifest though a shift in the relationship between performance and arousal. The findings discussed here inform our understanding of the role of changes in plasticity across development and the capacity for transformative change during adolescence.
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Figure 1. Stress during adolescence may cause a shift in the curvilinear relationship between performance and arousal, as described by the Yerkes-Dodson law for tasks of moderate difficulty. Note that under conditions that are either very low-threat or intensely stressful, animals are not predicted to differ in performance regardless of rearing conditions.


